

Note di Matematica  
Note Mat. 29 (2009), n. 1, 165-184  
ISSN 1123-2536, e-ISSN 1590-0932  
DOI 10.1285/i15900932v29n1p165  
<http://siba-esce.unisalento.it>, © 2009 Università del Salento

---

## On Characterizations of the Space of $p$ -Semi-Integral Multilinear Mappings

Erhan Çalışkan<sup>i</sup>

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences and Arts,  
Yıldız Technical University, 34210 Esenler, Istanbul, Turkey  
[caliskan@yildiz.edu.tr](mailto:caliskan@yildiz.edu.tr)*

Received: 22/02/2008; accepted: 03/10/2008.

**Abstract.** In this paper we consider the ideal of  $p$ -semi-integral  $n$ -linear mappings, which is a natural multilinear extension of the ideal of  $p$ -summing linear operators. The space of  $p$ -semi-integral multilinear mappings is characterized by means of a suitable tensor norm up to an isometric isomorphism. In this connection we also consider tensor products of linear operators and multilinear mappings of finite type.

**Keywords:**  $p$ -semi integral multilinear mappings, tensor product of Banach spaces

**MSC 2000 classification:** primary 46G25, secondary 46A32

### Introduction

Semi-integral multilinear mappings between Banach spaces were introduced by R. Alencar and M. Matos [1] as a natural multilinear extension of the classical ideal of absolutely summing linear operators. The extension of this notion to  $p$ -semi-integral multilinear mappings,  $1 \leq p < +\infty$  is immediate [see [2, 11]]. It is shown in [11] that the class of  $p$ -semi-integral multilinear mappings has many good properties, e.g. the ideal property [11, Proposição 5.1.11], inclusion property [11, Proposição 5.1.9], etc. [see also [2]]. Also it follows from a result of V. Dimant [4] that  $p$ -semi integral multilinear mappings have good properties with respect to the Aron-Berner extensions. As well, R. Alencar and M. Matos in [1] show that every multilinear vector-valued Pietsch-integral mapping is semi integral. We refer to [2] and [11] for the relation between  $p$ -semi-integral multilinear mappings and other classes of  $p$ -summing multilinear mappings, such as dominated, multiple (or, fully), strongly and absolutely summing mappings.

The aim of this paper is to obtain characterizations of the space  $\mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  of  $p$ -semi-integral  $n$ -linear mappings from  $E_1 \times \dots \times E_n$  to  $F$ . In Section 2 we introduce a reasonable crossnorm  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$  such that the space  $\mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F')$  of  $p$ -semi-integral  $n$ -linear mappings is isometric to the dual

---

<sup>i</sup>We would like to thank Professor Daniel M. Pellegrino and Professor Geraldo Botelho for several helpful conversations and suggestions.

of  $E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n \otimes F$  endowed with  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$ . A corresponding reasonable crossnorm  $\sigma_p$  for scalar-valued  $p$ -semi-integral mappings is also studied. In Section 3 we study the continuity of the tensor product of linear operators with respect to the norm  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$  (and  $\sigma_p$ ). Finally, in Section 4 we consider the norm  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$  (and  $\sigma_p$ ) in connection with spaces of multilinear mappings of finite type. Stronger representation results are obtained for multilinear mappings of finite type on reflexive spaces.

The symbols  $E, E_1, \dots, E_n, G_1, \dots, G_n, F, F_0$  represent (real or complex) Banach spaces,  $E'$  denotes the topological dual of  $E$ ,  $\mathbb{K}$  represents the scalar field and  $\mathbb{N}$  represents the set of all positive integers. Given a natural number  $n \geq 2$ , the Banach space of all continuous  $n$ -linear mappings from  $E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n$  into  $F$  endowed with the sup norm will be denoted by  $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  ( $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n)$  if  $F = \mathbb{K}$ ). For  $p \geq 1$ ,  $l_p(E)$  denotes the linear space of absolutely  $p$ -summable sequences  $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty$  in  $E$  with the norm  $\|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_p = \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \|x_j\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$ . Also,  $l_p^w(E)$  denotes the linear space of the sequences  $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty$  in  $E$  such that  $(\varphi(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty \in l_p$  for every  $\varphi \in E'$ . The expression

$$\|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{w,p} = \sup_{\varphi \in B_{E'}} \|(\varphi(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty\|_p$$

defines a norm on  $l_p^w(E)$ . If  $p = \infty$  we are restricted to the case of bounded sequences and in  $l_\infty(E)$  we use the sup norm. The symbol  $E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n$  denotes the algebraic tensor product of the Banach spaces  $E_1, \dots, E_n$ .

Let  $p \geq 1$ . An  $n$ -linear mapping  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  is  *$p$ -semi-integral* ( $T \in \mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ ) if there exist  $C \geq 0$  and a regular probability measure  $\mu$  on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $B_{E_1'} \times \cdots \times B_{E_n'}$  endowed with the product of the weak star topologies  $\sigma(E_l', E_l)$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ , such that

$$\|T(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq C \left( \int_{B_{E_1'} \times \cdots \times B_{E_n'}} |\varphi_1(x_1) \cdots \varphi_n(x_n)|^p d\mu(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n) \right)^{1/p}$$

for every  $x_j \in E_j$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . The infimum of the constants  $C$  working in the inequality defines a norm  $\|\cdot\|_{si,p}$  on  $\mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ .

## 1 $p$ -Semi-Integral Mappings and Tensor Products of Banach Spaces

The following characterization of  $p$ -semi-integral mappings, which was proved in [11] [see also [2]] will be important in this paper:

**1 Theorem.** [11], [2] Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  and  $F$  be Banach spaces and let  $p \geq 1$ . Then,  $T \in \mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  if and only if there exists  $C \geq 0$  such that

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^m \|T(x_{1,j}, \dots, x_{n,j})\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C \left( \sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(x_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j})|^p \right)^{1/p} \quad (1)$$

for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_{l,j} \in E_l$  with  $l = 1, \dots, n$  and  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Moreover, the infimum of the  $C$  in (1) is  $\|T\|_{si,p}$ .

A standard argument shows that  $\mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  is complete with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{si,p}$ . Next we introduce a reasonable crossnorm [see [14, p. 127]] on  $E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n \otimes F$  so that the topological dual of the resulting space is isometric to  $(\mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F'), \|\cdot\|_{si,p})$ .

**2 Proposition.** Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  and  $F$  be Banach spaces and let  $p \geq 1$ . Let

$$\tilde{\sigma}_p(u) := \inf \|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m\|_q \left( \sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(x_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j})|^p \right)^{1/p} \| (b_j)_{j=1}^m \|_\infty$$

where the infimum is taken over all representations of  $u \in E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n \otimes F$  in the form

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j x_{1,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n,j} \otimes b_j$$

with  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_{l,j} \in E_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $b_j \in F$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $q \geq 1$  with  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ .

Then the function  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$  is a reasonable crossnorm on  $E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n \otimes F$ .

For the proof we will need the following lemma.

**3 Lemma.** Given  $u \in E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n \otimes F$ , for any  $\delta > 0$  we can find a representation of  $u$  of the form

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j x_{1,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n,j} \otimes a_j,$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\alpha_j)_{j=1}^m\|_q &\leq [(1+\delta)\tilde{\sigma}_p(u)]^{1/q}, \\ \sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(x_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j})|^p &\leq (1+\delta)\tilde{\sigma}_p(u), \end{aligned}$$

$$\| (a_j)_{j=1}^m \|_\infty = 1.$$

PROOF. Let us take a constant  $\delta > 0$ . It is clear, by the definition of  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$ , that we can choose a representation of  $u$  of the form

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j x_{1,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n,j} \otimes a_j,$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_p(u) &\leq \| (\alpha_j)_{j=1}^m \|_q \left( \sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(x_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j})|^p \right)^{1/p} \| (a_j)_{j=1}^m \|_\infty \\ &\leq (1 + \delta) \tilde{\sigma}_p(u) = [(1 + \delta) \tilde{\sigma}_p(u)]^{1/q} [(1 + \delta) \tilde{\sigma}_p(u)]^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

Thus as a first step we can rearrange the representation of  $u$  by multiplying and dividing  $\| (a_j)_{j=1}^m \|_\infty$  with a suitable constant  $c > 0$  so that  $\| (a_j^*)_{j=1}^m \|_\infty := \| (ca_j)_{j=1}^m \|_\infty = 1$ , and  $\| (\alpha_j^*)_{j=1}^m \|_q := \| (\frac{1}{c} \alpha_j)_{j=1}^m \|_q$ . Observe that the representation  $u = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j^* x_{1,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n,j} \otimes a_j^*$  satisfies (\*) with

$$\begin{aligned} \| (\alpha_j^*)_{j=1}^m \|_q \left( \sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(x_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j})|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ \leq [(1 + \delta) \tilde{\sigma}_p(u)]^{1/q} [(1 + \delta) \tilde{\sigma}_p(u)]^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Now as a second step, for this representation of  $u$ , for example, if

$$\left( \sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(x_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j})|^p \right)^{1/p} > [(1 + \delta) \tilde{\sigma}_p(u)]^{1/p} \quad (**)$$

again we can choose a suitable constant  $C > 0$  so that

$$\left( \sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(Cx_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j})|^p \right)^{1/p} = [(1 + \delta) \tilde{\sigma}_p(u)]^{1/p}.$$

Hence, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\alpha_j^*)_{j=1}^m\|_q \frac{1}{C} \left( \sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(Cx_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j})|^p \right)^{1/p} & \| (a_j^*)_{j=1}^m \|_\infty \\ & \leq [(1+\delta)\tilde{\sigma}_p(u)]^{1/q} [(1+\delta)\tilde{\sigma}_p(u)]^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

and this will imply that  $\|(\alpha_j^*)_{j=1}^m\|_q \frac{1}{C} \leq [(1+\delta)\tilde{\sigma}_p(u)]^{1/q}$ . Now taking  $\|(\alpha_j^*)_{j=1}^m\|_q = \|(\frac{1}{C}\alpha_j^*)_{j=1}^m\|_q$  and  $x_{1,j}^* = Cx_{1,j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$  we obtain a representation of  $u$  of the form  $u = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j^* x_{1,j}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n,j} \otimes a_j^*$  satisfying (\*) and conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\alpha_j^*)_{j=1}^m\|_q & \leq [(1+\delta)\tilde{\sigma}_p(u)]^{1/q}, \\ \sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(x_{1,j}^*) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j})|^p & \leq (1+\delta)\tilde{\sigma}_p(u), \end{aligned}$$

$$\|(\alpha_j^*)_{j=1}^m\|_\infty = 1.$$

Note that, in the second step above, if, instead of (\*\*), it would be

$$\|(\alpha_j^*)_{j=1}^m\|_q > [(1+\delta)\tilde{\sigma}_p(u)]^{1/q}, \quad (***)$$

then we would proceed completely in a similar way to obtain a suitable representation of  $u$  satisfying (\*) and the above conditions. Note also that, as a consequence of the inequality (\*), it cannot happen (\*\*) and (\*\*\*) simultaneously.  $\square$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2. First we show that  $\tilde{\sigma}_p(u) = 0$  implies  $u = 0$ . Suppose that  $\tilde{\sigma}_p(u) = 0$ . Then, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a representation  $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j x_{1,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n,j} \otimes b_j$  of  $u$  such that

$$\|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m\|_q \left( \sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(x_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j})|^p \right)^{1/p} \| (b_j)_{j=1}^m \|_\infty < \epsilon.$$

Hence it follows from the Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l}, \varphi \in B_{F'} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \left| \varphi_1 \times \cdots \times \varphi_n \times \varphi \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j x_{1,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n,j} \otimes b_j \right) \right| \\
 &= \sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l}, \varphi \in B_{F'} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \varphi_1(\lambda_j x_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j}) \varphi(b_j) \right| \\
 &\leq \| (b_j)_{j=1}^m \|_\infty \| (\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m \|_q \left( \sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \sum_{j=1}^m | \varphi_1(x_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j}) |^p \right)^{1/p} < \epsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have that

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^m \varphi_1(\lambda_j x_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j}) \varphi(b_j) \right| < \epsilon \| \varphi_1 \| \cdots \| \varphi_n \| \| \varphi \|,$$

for every  $\varphi_l \in E'_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\varphi \in F'$ .

Since the value of the sum  $\left| \varphi_1 \times \cdots \times \varphi_n \times \varphi \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j x_{1,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n,j} \otimes b_j \right) \right|$  is independent of the representation of  $u$ , it follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \varphi_1(\lambda_j x_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j}) \varphi(b_j) = 0,$$

for every  $\varphi_l \in E'_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\varphi \in F'$ .

Hence, since  $E'_1, \dots, E'_n$  and  $F'$  are separating subsets of the respective algebraic duals, by the multilinear version of [14, Proposition 1.2] it follows that  $u = 0$ .

To prove the triangular inequality, take  $u, v \in E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n \otimes F$ . For any  $\delta > 0$ , by Lemma 3 we can find representations

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j x_{1,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n,j} \otimes a_j \quad \text{and} \quad v = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j y_{1,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes y_{n,j} \otimes b_j$$

such that

$$\| (\alpha_j)_{j=1}^m \|_q \leq [(1 + \delta) \tilde{\sigma}_p(u)]^{1/q},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\beta_j)_{j=1}^m\|_q &\leq [(1+\delta)\tilde{\sigma}_p(v)]^{1/q}, \\
\sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1,\dots,n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(x_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j})|^p &\leq (1+\delta)\tilde{\sigma}_p(u), \\
\sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1,\dots,n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(y_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(y_{n,j})|^p &\leq (1+\delta)\tilde{\sigma}_p(v), \\
\|(a_j)_{j=1}^m\|_\infty = 1 &= \|(b_j)_{j=1}^m\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\sigma}_p(u+v) &\leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |\alpha_j|^q + \sum_{j=1}^m |\beta_j|^q \right)^{1/q} \\
&\times \left( \sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1,\dots,n}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(x_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j})|^p + \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(y_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(y_{n,j})|^p \right) \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq (1+\delta)^{1/q} (\tilde{\sigma}_p(u) + \tilde{\sigma}_p(v))^{1/q} (1+\delta)^{1/p} (\tilde{\sigma}_p(u) + \tilde{\sigma}_p(v))^{1/p} \\
&= (1+\delta) (\tilde{\sigma}_p(u) + \tilde{\sigma}_p(v)),
\end{aligned}$$

which shows the triangular inequality. Hence  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$  is a norm on  $E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n \otimes F$ .

It is easily seen that  $\tilde{\sigma}_p(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n \otimes b) \leq \|x_1\| \cdots \|x_n\| \cdot \|b\|$  for every  $x_l \in E_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$  and  $b \in F$ . To show that  $\|\varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_n \otimes \varphi\| \leq \|\varphi_1\| \cdots \|\varphi_n\| \cdot \|\varphi\|$  let  $\varphi_l \in E'_l$  with  $\varphi_l \neq 0$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $\varphi \in F'$  with  $\varphi \neq 0$ , and let  $u = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j x_{1,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n,j} \otimes b_j$ . Then by the Hölder's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_n(u)| &\leq \|\varphi\| \|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m\|_\infty \|\varphi_1\| \cdots \|\varphi_n\| \|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m\|_q \\
&\times \left( \sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1,\dots,n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(x_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j})|^p \right)^{1/p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain that  $|\varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_n \otimes \varphi(u)| \leq \|\varphi_1\| \cdots \|\varphi_n\| \|\varphi\| \tilde{\sigma}_p(u)$ , and we have shown that  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$  is a reasonable crossnorm. QED

Note that when  $n = 1$ , in particular, the norm  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$  is reduced to the Chevet-Saphar norm  $d_q$  on  $E_1 \otimes F$  [see [14, pg. 135]].

In the previous proposition if we take  $F = \mathbb{K}$ , then we identify  $E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n \otimes \mathbb{K}$  with  $E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n$ , and in this case the corresponding reasonable crossnorm will be denoted by  $\sigma_p$  which is described as follows:

$$\sigma_p(u) := \inf \|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m\|_q \left( \sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(x_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j})|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

where the infimum is taken over all representations of  $u \in E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n$  in the form  $u = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j x_{1,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n,j}$  with  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_{l,j} \in E_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $q \geq 1$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

**4 Remark.** (Commutativity and associativity of  $\sigma_p$ ) Let  $E$ ,  $F$  and  $G$  be Banach spaces. Since the algebraic isomorphisms  $E \otimes F = F \otimes E$  and  $E \otimes (F \otimes G) = (E \otimes F) \otimes G$  are well known [see, for example, [7, p. 179]] then it follows by the very definition of  $\sigma_p$  that, the normed (resp. Banach) spaces  $(E \otimes F, \sigma_p)$  and  $(F \otimes E, \sigma_p)$  (resp.  $(E \tilde{\otimes} F, \sigma_p)$  and  $(F \tilde{\otimes} E, \sigma_p)$ ) are isometrically isomorphic, and the normed (resp. Banach) spaces  $((E \otimes F, \sigma_p) \otimes G, \sigma_p)$  and  $(E \otimes (F \otimes G, \sigma_p), \sigma_p)$  (resp.  $((E \tilde{\otimes} F, \sigma_p) \tilde{\otimes} G, \sigma_p)$  and  $(E \tilde{\otimes} (F \tilde{\otimes} G, \sigma_p), \sigma_p)$ ) are isometrically isomorphic in the canonical way, where the symbol  $\tilde{\otimes}$  denotes the completion of the corresponding normed space.

The above remark assures that the (reasonable) crossnorm  $\sigma_p$  is symmetric, that is, if we interchange the factor spaces the value of the norm does not alter. Although  $\sigma_p$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$  share many properties, let us see that, contrary to the case of  $\sigma_p$ , commutativity and associativity do not hold for  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$ : take a tensor  $u$  in  $E \otimes F$  and consider the infima

$$\inf \|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m\|_q \left( \sup_{\varphi \in B_{E'}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi(x_j)|^p \right)^{1/p} \| (y_j)_{j=1}^m \|_\infty \text{ and}$$

$$\inf \|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m\|_q \left( \sup_{\phi \in B_{F'}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\phi(y_j)|^p \right)^{1/p} \| (x_j)_{j=1}^m \|_\infty,$$

where the infima are taken over all representations  $u = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j x_j \otimes y_j$  with  $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $x_j \in E$ ,  $y_j \in F$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . The fact that these infima are different



in general shows that  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$  is not a symmetric norm. Its non-associativity follows analogously.

**5 Remark.** Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  and  $F$  be Banach spaces and let  $p \geq 1$ .

- (a) It follows from the definitions of  $\sigma_p$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$  that  $\sigma_p(u) \leq \tilde{\sigma}_p(u)$  for every  $u \in E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F$ .
- (b) To each tensor  $u \in E'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E'_n$  corresponds a canonical operator  $T_u: E_1 \times \dots \times E_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$  given by

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \varphi_{1,j} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{n,j} \mapsto T_u = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \varphi_{1,j} \times \dots \times \varphi_{n,j},$$

with  $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $\varphi_{l,j} \in E'_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . By an easy application of Hölder's inequality we see that  $\|T_u\| \leq \sigma_p(u)$  for every  $u \in E'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E'_n$ .

Below by combining the argument of the proof of [9, Theorem 3.7] with Theorem 1 we prove the following result. This result characterizes the space of  $p$ -semi integral mappings as the topological dual of the space of the tensor product  $(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F, \tilde{\sigma}_p)$  up to an isometric isomorphism.

**6 Proposition.** Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  be Banach spaces. Then, for every Banach space  $F$  and  $p \geq 1$ , the space  $(\mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F'), \|\cdot\|_{si,p})$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F, \tilde{\sigma}_p)'$  through the mapping  $T \longrightarrow \phi_T$ , where  $\phi_T(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \otimes b) = T(x_1, \dots, x_n)(b)$ , for every  $x_l \in E_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $b \in F$ .

PROOF. It is easy to see that the correspondence

$$T \in \mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F') \longrightarrow \phi_T \in (E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F, \tilde{\sigma}_p)'$$

defined by

$$\phi_T(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \otimes b) := T(x_1, \dots, x_n)(b), \quad x_l \in E_l, \quad l = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } b \in F,$$

is linear and injective. To show the surjectivity let  $\phi \in (E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F, \tilde{\sigma}_p)'$  and consider the corresponding  $n$ -linear mapping  $T_\phi \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F')$ , defined by  $T_\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)(b) = \phi(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \otimes b)$ , for  $x_l \in E_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $b \in F$ . Let us consider  $x_{l,j} \in E_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there are  $b_j \in F$ , with  $\|b_j\| = 1$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T_\phi(x_{1,j}, \dots, x_{n,j}))_{j=1}^m\|_p^p &= \sum_{j=1}^m \|T_\phi(x_{1,j}, \dots, x_{n,j})\|^p \\ &\leq \epsilon + \sum_{j=1}^m |T_\phi(x_{1,j}, \dots, x_{n,j})(b_j)|^p = (*). \end{aligned}$$

Now we can choose  $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{K}$ , with  $|\lambda_j| = 1$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} (*) &= \epsilon + \sum_{j=1}^m |\phi(x_{1,j} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n,j} \otimes b_j)|^p \\ &= \epsilon + \left| \sum_{j=1}^m |\phi(x_{1,j} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n,j} \otimes b_j)|^{p-1} \lambda_j \phi(x_{1,j} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n,j} \otimes b_j) \right| = (**). \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding from this point, by continuity of  $\phi$  and the Hölder's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} (**) &\leq \epsilon + \|\phi\|_{(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F, \tilde{\sigma}_p)'} \tilde{\sigma}_p \\ &\quad \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |\lambda_j| |\phi(x_{1,j} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n,j} \otimes b_j)|^{p-1} x_{1,j} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n,j} \otimes b_j \right) \\ &\leq \epsilon + \|\phi\|_{(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F, \tilde{\sigma}_p)'} \left\| (\lambda_j |\phi(x_{1,j} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n,j} \otimes b_j)|^{p-1})_{j=1}^m \right\|_q \\ &\quad \times \left( \sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(x_{1,j}) \dots \varphi_n(x_{n,j})|^p \right)^{1/p} \| (b_j)_{j=1}^m \|_\infty \\ &= \epsilon + \|\phi\|_{(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F, \tilde{\sigma}_p)'} \left\| (T_\phi(x_{1,j}, \dots, x_{n,j}))_{j=1}^m \right\|_p^{p/q} \\ &\quad \left( \sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(x_{1,j}) \dots \varphi_n(x_{n,j})|^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary and  $p - (p/q) = 1$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T_\phi(x_{1,j}, \dots, x_{1,j}))_{j=1}^m\|_p &\leq \|\phi\|_{(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F, \tilde{\sigma}_p)'} \\ &\quad \left( \sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(x_{1,j}) \dots \varphi_n(x_{n,j})|^p \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

showing that  $\|T_\phi\|_{si,p} \leq \|\phi\|_{(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F, \tilde{\sigma}_p)'}$ , and therefore  $T_\phi \in (\mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F'), \|\cdot\|_{si,p})$ .

To show the reverse inequality let  $T \in \mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F')$  and consider the linear functional  $\phi_T$  on  $E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F$  given by

$$\phi_T(u) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j T(x_{1,j}, \dots, x_{n,j})(b_j)$$

for  $u = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j x_{1,j} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n,j} \otimes b_j$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $b_j \in F$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Hence, by Hölder's inequality and Theorem 1 it follows that

$$|\phi_T(u)|^p \leq \|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m\|_q^p \| (b_j)_{j=1}^m \|_\infty^p \|T\|_{si,p}^p \sup_{\substack{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(x_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j})|^p.$$

Thus  $|\phi_T(u)| \leq \|T\|_{si,p} \tilde{\sigma}_p(u)$ , showing that  $\phi_T$  is  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$ -continuous with  $\|\phi_T\|_{(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F, \tilde{\sigma}_p)'} \leq \|T\|_{si,p}$ .  $\overline{QED}$

Making  $F = \mathbb{K}$ , in the previous Proposition we obtain that for every Banach spaces  $E_1, \dots, E_n$ , and  $p \geq 1$ , the space of  $p$ -semi-integral forms  $(\mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n), \|\cdot\|_{si,p})$  is isometric to  $(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes \mathbb{K}, \tilde{\sigma}_p)'$ .

On the other hand, by a slight modification of the proof of Proposition 6, alternatively, we obtain the representation of the space of  $p$ -semi-integral forms as the dual of the tensor product endowed with the  $\sigma_p$ -norm.

**7 Proposition.** *Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  be Banach spaces, and let  $p \geq 1$ . Then  $(\mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n), \|\cdot\|_{si,p})$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n, \sigma_p)'$  through the mapping  $T \rightarrow \phi_T$ , where  $\phi_T(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n) = T(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for every  $x_l \in E_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ .*

It is interesting to observe that  $(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes \mathbb{K}, \tilde{\sigma}_p)'$  is not isometric to  $(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n, \tilde{\sigma}_p)'$ , but as a consequence of Propositions 6 and 7 we see that  $(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes \mathbb{K}, \tilde{\sigma}_p)'$  is isometric to  $(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n, \sigma_p)'$ .

Recall that a linear operator  $u : E \rightarrow F$  is said to be absolutely  $p$ -summing if  $(u(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty \in l_p(F)$  whenever  $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in l_p^w(E)$ . The vector space (operator ideal) composed by all absolutely  $p$ -summing operators from  $E$  to  $F$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_{as,p}(E; F)$ . Hence the class of absolutely  $p$ -summing linear mappings coincides with the class of  $p$ -semi integral linear mappings. So in the linear case we prefer to write  $\mathcal{L}_{as,p}(E; F)$  (resp.  $\|\cdot\|_{as,p}$ ) instead of  $\mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E; F)$  (resp.  $\|\cdot\|_{si,p}$ ). For the theory of absolutely summing operators we refer to [3].

Below, inspired by a result of D. Pérez-García [12], we show that the norm  $\sigma_p$  is well behaved in connection with  $p$ -semi integral mappings.

**8 Proposition.** *Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  and  $F$  be Banach spaces and let  $p \geq 1$ . Then we have the following:*

- (a) If  $T : E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n \longrightarrow F$  is a linear operator, then  $T \in \mathcal{L}((E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n, \sigma_p); F)$  if and only if  $\varphi \circ T \in (E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n, \sigma_p)'$  for every  $\varphi \in B_{F'}$ . In this case we have:

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}((E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n, \sigma_p); F)} = \sup_{\varphi \in B_{F'}} \|\varphi \circ T\|_{(E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n, \sigma_p)'}$$

- (b) A multilinear mapping  $T : E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n \longrightarrow F$  is  $p$ -semi integral if its associated linear mapping  $\tilde{T} : E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n \longrightarrow F$ , given by  $\tilde{T}(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) = T(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for every  $x_l \in E_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ , is  $\sigma_p$ -continuous and  $p$ -semi integral. In this case we have

$$\|T\| \leq \|T\|_{si,p} \leq \|\tilde{T}\|_{si,p}.$$

Conversely, if  $T \in \mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ , then the associated linear mapping  $\tilde{T}$  is  $\sigma_p$ -continuous, that is,  $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{L}((E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n, \sigma_p); F)$ . In this case we have:

$$\|T\| \leq \|\tilde{T}\|_{\mathcal{L}((E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n, \sigma_p); F)} \leq \|T\|_{si,p}.$$

PROOF. (a) The non-trivial implication of the first assertion is an easy consequence of the closed graph theorem. To show the second assertion let  $u_0 \in E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n$  with  $Tu_0 \neq 0$ . Then by the Hahn-Banach Theorem there exists a  $\varphi_0 \in B_{F'}$  such that  $\varphi_0(Tu_0) = \|Tu_0\|$ . Therefore for every  $\varphi \in B_{F'}$  we have that

$$\|Tu_0\| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in B_{F'}} |\varphi \circ T(u_0)| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in B_{F'}} \|\varphi \circ T\|_{(E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n, \sigma_p)'} \sigma_p(u_0),$$

which shows that

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}((E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n, \sigma_p); F)} \leq \sup_{\varphi \in B_{F'}} \|\varphi \circ T\|_{(E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n, \sigma_p)'}$$

Since the reverse inequality is immediate we have (a).

- (b) Suppose  $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{L}_{si,p}((E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n, \sigma_p); F)$ . Then by Proposition 7 and

Theorem 1 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \|T(x_{1,j}, \dots, x_{n,j})\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
 & \leq \| \tilde{T} \|_{si,p} \left( \sup_{\varphi \in B_{(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n, \sigma_p)'}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi(x_{1,j} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n,j})|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
 & = \| \tilde{T} \|_{si,p} \left( \sup_{S \in B_{(\mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n), \|\cdot\|_{si,p})}} \sum_{j=1}^m |S(x_{1,j}, \dots, x_{n,j})|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
 & \leq \| \tilde{T} \|_{si,p} \left( \sup_{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(x_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j})|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad l=1, \dots, n
 \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $T \in \mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  with  $\|T\|_{si,p} \leq \|\tilde{T}\|_{si,p}$ . The fact that  $\|T\| \leq \|T\|_{si,p}$  follows easily from Theorem 1.

To show the converse, suppose now  $T \in \mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ , and let  $u \in E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n$ . Choosing a representation  $u = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j x_{1,j} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n,j}$ , from the Hölder's inequality and Theorem 1 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\tilde{T}(u)\|^p & \leq \|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m\|_q^p \sum_{j=1}^m \|T(x_{1,j}, \dots, x_{n,j})\|^p \\
 & \leq \|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m\|_q^p \|T\|_{si,p}^p \sup_{\varphi_l \in B_{E'_l}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_1(x_{1,j}) \cdots \varphi_n(x_{n,j})|^p, \\
 & \quad l=1, \dots, n
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|\tilde{T}(u)\| \leq \|T\|_{si,p} \sigma_p(u)$ , and so  $\tilde{T}$  is  $\sigma_p$ -continuous with  $\|\tilde{T}\|_{\mathcal{L}((E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n, \sigma_p); F)} \leq \|T\|_{si,p}$ . Finally, since  $\sigma_p$  is a reasonable cross-norm, it readily follows that  $\|T\| \leq \|\tilde{T}\|_{\mathcal{L}((E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n, \sigma_p); F)}$ , which completes the proof of (b).  $\square$

Proposition 8(b) can be seen as a weak vector-valued version of Proposition 7. We do not know if, in general,  $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{L}_{si,p}((E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n, \sigma_p); F)$  whenever  $T \in \mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ .

We end this section by giving another property of the  $p$ -semi integral multilinear mappings.

**9 Proposition.** [11, Teorema 5.1.14] If  $T \in \mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  then, for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , the mapping  $T_i: E_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ , defined by  $T_i(x_i)(x_1, \dots, x_n) := T(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , is absolutely  $p$ -summing with  $T_i(x_i) \in \mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ . Furthermore,

$$\|T\| = \|T_i\| \leq \|T_i\|_{as,p} \leq \|T\|_{si,p}.$$

PROOF. A close examination of the proof of [11, Teorema 5.1.14] gives the first part. Since it is readily seen that  $\|T\| = \|T_i\|$  and, it follows by Proposition 8(b) that  $\|T_i\| \leq \|T_i\|_{si,p}$ , we have the proof. QED

## 2 Tensor Product of Operators

In this section we consider the tensor product of linear operators in connection with the reasonable crossnorm  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$  (and  $\sigma_p$ ). We show that the reasonable crossnorms  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$  and  $\sigma_p$  are actually tensor norms. The results of this section are similar to those ones given for the projective tensor product in connection with bilinear mappings in [14] with the same patterns in corresponding proofs [see [14, Propositions 2.3 and 2.4]].

In what follows we use the notation  $\tilde{\sigma}_{p;E_1,\dots,E_n}$  to emphasize that the crossnorm  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$  is considered on  $E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n$ .

**10 Proposition.** Let  $T_i \in \mathcal{L}(E_i; F_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E; F)$  and  $p \geq 1$ . Then there is a unique continuous linear operator  $T_1 \otimes_{\tilde{\sigma}_p} \dots \otimes_{\tilde{\sigma}_p} T_n \otimes_{\tilde{\sigma}_p} T: (E_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} E_n \tilde{\otimes} E, \tilde{\sigma}_p) \longrightarrow (F_1 \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} F_n \tilde{\otimes} F, \tilde{\sigma}_p)$  such that

$$T_1 \otimes_{\tilde{\sigma}_p} \dots \otimes_{\tilde{\sigma}_p} T_n \otimes_{\tilde{\sigma}_p} T(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \otimes x) = (T_1 x_1) \otimes \dots \otimes (T_n x_n) \otimes (Tx)$$

for every  $x_i \in E_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $x \in E$ . Moreover

$$\|T_1 \otimes_{\tilde{\sigma}_p} \dots \otimes_{\tilde{\sigma}_p} T_n \otimes_{\tilde{\sigma}_p} T\| = \|T_1 \otimes \dots \otimes T_n \otimes T\| = \|T_1\| \dots \|T_n\| \|T\|.$$

PROOF. Given linear operators  $T_i \in \mathcal{L}(E_i; F_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E; F)$ , there is a unique linear operator  $T_1 \otimes \dots \otimes T_n \otimes T: E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes E \longrightarrow F_1 \otimes \dots \otimes F_n \otimes F$  such that

$$T_1 \otimes \dots \otimes T_n \otimes T(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \otimes x) = (T_1 x_1) \otimes \dots \otimes (T_n x_n) \otimes (Tx)$$

for every  $x_i \in E_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $x \in E$  [see [14, p. 7]]. We may suppose  $T_i \neq 0$ ,

$i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $T \neq 0$ . Let  $u \in E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes E$  and let  $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j x_{1,j} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n,j} \otimes x_j$

be a representation of  $u$ . Hence the sum

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j T_1(x_{1,j}) \otimes \dots \otimes T_n(x_{n,j}) \otimes T(x_j)$$

is a representation of  $T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n \otimes T(u)$  in  $F_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes F_n \otimes F$ . Then, for every  $p \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\sigma}_{p;F_1,\dots,F_n,F}(T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n \otimes T(u)) \\ & \leq \|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m\|_q \left( \sup_{\substack{\phi_l \in B_{F_l'} \\ l=1,\dots,n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\phi_1(T_1 x_{1,j}) \cdots \phi_n(T_n x_{n,j})|^p \right)^{1/p} \| (Tx_j)_{j=1}^m \|_\infty \\ & \leq \|T_1\| \cdots \|T_n\| \|T\| \|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m\|_q \left( \sup_{\substack{\phi_l \in B_{E_l'} \\ l=1,\dots,n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\phi_1(x_{1,j}) \cdots \phi_n(x_{n,j})|^p \right)^{1/p} \| (x_j)_{j=1}^m \|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

and we have that

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{p;F_1,\dots,F_n,F}(T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n \otimes T(u)) \leq \|T_1\| \cdots \|T_n\| \|T\| \tilde{\sigma}_{p;E_1,\dots,E_n,E}(u),$$

so that the linear operator  $T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n \otimes T$  is continuous for the crossnorms on  $E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n \otimes E$  and  $F_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes F_n \otimes F$  and  $\|T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n \otimes T\| \leq \|T_1\| \cdots \|T_n\| \|T\|$ . On the other hand, as  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$  is an reasonable crossnorm we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1(x_1)\| \cdots \|T_n(x_n)\| \|T(x)\| &= \tilde{\sigma}_{p;F_1,\dots,F_n,F}(T_1(x_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n(x_n) \otimes T(x)) \\ &\leq \|T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n \otimes T\| \tilde{\sigma}_{p;E_1,\dots,E_n,E}(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n \otimes x) \\ &= \|T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n \otimes T\| \|x_1\| \cdots \|x_n\| \|x\|, \end{aligned}$$

[see [14, Proposition 6.1]], and therefore  $\|T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n \otimes T\| \geq \|T_1\| \cdots \|T_n\| \|T\|$ . Hence we have that

$$\|T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n \otimes T\| = \|T_1\| \cdots \|T_n\| \|T\|$$

Now taking the unique continuous extension of the operator  $T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n \otimes T$  to the completions of  $(E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n \otimes E, \tilde{\sigma}_p)$  and  $(F_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes F_n \otimes F, \tilde{\sigma}_p)$ , which we denote by  $T_1 \otimes_{\tilde{\sigma}_p} \cdots \otimes_{\tilde{\sigma}_p} T_n \otimes_{\tilde{\sigma}_p} T$ , we obtain a unique linear operator from  $(E_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} E_n \hat{\otimes} E, \tilde{\sigma}_p)$  into  $(F_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} F_n \hat{\otimes} F, \tilde{\sigma}_p)$  with the norm  $\|T_1 \otimes_{\tilde{\sigma}_p} \cdots \otimes_{\tilde{\sigma}_p} T_n \otimes_{\tilde{\sigma}_p} T\| = \|T_1\| \cdots \|T_n\| \|T\|$ . QED

The  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$ -tensor product does not respect subspaces but respects 1-complemented subspaces. Indeed; if  $E_0$  is a subspace of  $E$ , then  $E_0 \otimes F$  is an algebraic subspace of  $E \otimes F$ , but the norm induced on  $E_0 \otimes F$  by  $(E \otimes F, \tilde{\sigma}_p)$  is not, in

general the  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$  norm on  $E_0 \otimes F$ . In fact, if we take  $u \in E_0 \otimes F$ , then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_{p;E,F}(u) &= \inf \|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m\|_q \left( \sup_{\varphi \in B_{E'}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi(x_j)|^p \right)^{1/p} \| (y_j)_{j=1}^m \|_\infty \\ &\leq \inf \|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m\|_q \left( \sup_{\psi \in B_{E_0'}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\psi(x_j)|^p \right)^{1/p} \| (y_j)_{j=1}^m \|_\infty = \tilde{\sigma}_{p;E_0,F}(u) \end{aligned}$$

since the set of representations of  $u$  become bigger when we enlarge the space  $E_0$  to  $E$ . Similarly if  $F_0$  is a subspace of  $F$ , then  $E \otimes F_0$  is an algebraic subspace of  $E \otimes F$ , but the norm induced on  $E \otimes F_0$  by  $(E \otimes F, \tilde{\sigma}_p)$  is not, in general the  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$  norm on  $E \otimes F_0$ . Whereas for complemented subspaces we have:

**11 Proposition.** *Let  $M_1, \dots, M_n, N$  be complemented subspaces of  $E_1, \dots, E_n, F$  respectively. Then  $M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n \otimes N$  is complemented in  $(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F, \tilde{\sigma}_p)$  and the norm on  $M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n \otimes N$  induced by  $\tilde{\sigma}_{p;E_1, \dots, E_n, F}$  is equivalent to  $\tilde{\sigma}_{p;M_1, \dots, M_n, N}$ . Moreover, if  $M_1, \dots, M_n$  and  $N$  are 1-complemented, then  $(M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n \otimes N, \tilde{\sigma}_p)$  is 1-complemented in  $(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F, \tilde{\sigma}_p)$  as well.*

PROOF. Let  $P_1, \dots, P_n, Q$  be projections from  $E_1, \dots, E_n, F$  onto  $M_1, \dots, M_n, N$  respectively. One can easily show that  $P_1 \otimes \dots \otimes P_n \otimes Q$  is a projection of  $(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F, \tilde{\sigma}_p)$  onto  $M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n \otimes N$ . We just have proved above that  $\tilde{\sigma}_{p;E,F}(u) \leq \tilde{\sigma}_{p;M,N}(u)$  for  $u \in M \otimes N$ , and the same argument shows that  $\tilde{\sigma}_{p;E_1, \dots, E_n, F}(u) \leq \tilde{\sigma}_{p;M_1, \dots, M_n, N}(u)$  for  $u \in M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n \otimes N$ .

Let  $u \in M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n \otimes N$  and let  $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j x_{1,j} \dots \otimes x_{n,j} \otimes y_j$  be a representation of  $u$  in  $E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F$ . Then  $u = P_1 \otimes \dots \otimes P_n \otimes Q(u) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j P_1(x_{1,j}) \otimes \dots \otimes P_n(x_{n,j}) \otimes Q(y_j)$  is a representation of  $u$  in  $M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n \otimes N$ . Therefore, by the argument used in the proof of Proposition 10 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\sigma}_{p;M_1, \dots, M_n, N}(u) \\ &\leq \|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m\|_q \left( \sup_{\substack{\phi_l \in B_{M_l'} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\phi_1(P_1(x_{1,j})) \dots \phi_n(P_n(x_{n,j}))|^p \right)^{1/p} \| (Q(y_j))_{j=1}^m \|_\infty \\ &\leq \|P_1\| \dots \|P_n\| \|Q\| \|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m\|_q \left( \sup_{\substack{\phi_l \in B_{E_l'} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\phi_1(x_{1,j}) \dots \phi_n(x_{n,j})|^p \right)^{1/p} \| (y_j)_{j=1}^m \|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$



Since this holds for every representation of  $u$  in  $E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_n \otimes F$ , it follows that

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{p;E_1,\dots,E_n,F}(u) \leq \tilde{\sigma}_{p;M_1,\dots,M_n,N}(u) \leq \|P_1\| \cdots \|P_n\| \|Q\| \tilde{\sigma}_{p;E_1,\dots,E_n,F}(u).$$

Now, if  $M_1, \dots, M_n$  and  $N$  are complemented by projections of norm one, then we have that  $\tilde{\sigma}_{p;E_1,\dots,E_n,F}(u) = \tilde{\sigma}_{p;M_1,\dots,M_n,N}(u)$  for every  $u \in M_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M_n \otimes N$ , and by Proposition 10 it follows that  $\|P_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes P_n \otimes Q\| = \|P_1\| \cdots \|P_n\| \|Q\| = 1$ , as we desired.  $\square$

We note that an analogous result to Proposition 10, in a similar way, can be obtained for  $\sigma_p$  also. As well, like the case of  $\tilde{\sigma}_p$ , and with analogous reasonings, the  $\sigma_p$ -tensor product does not respect subspaces but respects 1-complemented subspaces.

### 3 Connection with multilinear mappings of finite type

We recall that a multilinear mapping  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  is said to be of finite type if it has a finite representation of the form

$$T = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \varphi_{1,j} \times \cdots \times \varphi_{n,j} b_j \quad (2)$$

where  $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $\varphi_{l,j} \in E'_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $b_j \in F$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  the vector subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  of all  $n$ -linear mappings of finite type. It is plain that multilinear mappings of finite type are  $p$ -semi-integral, that is,  $\mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \subset \mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ . It is clear that to each operator in  $\mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  corresponds a tensor in  $E'_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E'_n \otimes F$  via the canonical mapping

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \varphi_{1,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_{n,j} \otimes b_j \longrightarrow T_u = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \varphi_{1,j} \times \cdots \times \varphi_{n,j} b_j, \quad (3)$$

where  $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $\varphi_{l,j} \in E'_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $b_j \in F$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Next we will see that, in some cases, these mappings are isometries.

**12 Proposition.** *Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  and  $F$  be Banach spaces and let  $p \geq 1$ . Given  $T \in \mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ , define*

$$\|T\|_{f,p} := \inf \|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m\|_q \left( \sup_{\substack{\phi_l \in B_{E'_l} \\ l=1,\dots,n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\phi_1(\varphi_{1,j}) \cdots \phi_n(\varphi_{n,j})|^p \right)^{1/p} \| (b_j)_{j=1}^m \|_\infty$$

where the infimum is taken over all representations of  $T$  as in (2), and  $q \geq 1$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

Then  $\|\cdot\|_{f,p}$  is a norm on  $\mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  with the following properties :

(a) For every  $u \in E'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E'_n \otimes F$  we have that  $\|T_u\| \leq \|T_u\|_{f,p} = \tilde{\sigma}_p(u)$ .

Consequently,  $(\mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F), \|\cdot\|_{f,p})$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $(E'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E'_n \otimes F, \tilde{\sigma}_p)$  via the mapping given in (3).

(b) For every  $\varphi_l \in E'_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $b \in F$  we have that  $\|\varphi_1 \times \dots \times \varphi_n b\|_{f,p} = \|\varphi_1\| \dots \|\varphi_n\| \cdot \|b\|$ .

PROOF. Following the lines of the proof of Proposition 2 it is easy to see that  $\|\cdot\|_{f,p}$  is a norm on  $\mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ .

(a) Since the equality  $\|T_u\|_{f,p} = \tilde{\sigma}_p(u)$  is trivial we show that  $\|T_u\| \leq \|T_u\|_{f,p}$ . Given  $x_l \in E_l$  with  $x_l \neq 0$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ , by Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_u(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|^p \\ & \leq \|x_1\|^p \dots \|x_n\|^p \|(b_j)_{j=1}^m\|_\infty^p \|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m\|_q^p \sup_{\phi_l \in B_{E'_l}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\phi_1(\varphi_{1,j}) \dots \phi_n(\varphi_{n,j})|^p. \end{aligned}$$

$l=1, \dots, n$

So, it follows that  $\|T_u(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \|T_u\|_{f,p} \|x_1\| \dots \|x_n\|$  and we have (a).

(b) Take  $\varphi_l \in E'_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $b \in F$ . It is immediate that  $\|\varphi_1 \times \dots \times \varphi_n b\|_{f,p} \leq \|\varphi_1\| \dots \|\varphi_n\| \cdot \|b\|$ . To prove the reverse inequality we use (a). For every  $x_l \in E_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_1(x_1)| \dots |\varphi_n(x_n)| \|b\| & \leq \|\varphi_1 \times \dots \times \varphi_n b\| \|x_1\| \dots \|x_n\| \\ & \leq \|\varphi_1 \times \dots \times \varphi_n b\|_{f,p} \|x_1\| \dots \|x_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over  $B_{E_l}$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ , we see that  $\|\varphi_1\| \dots \|\varphi_n\| \cdot \|b\| \leq \|\varphi_1 \times \dots \times \varphi_n b\|_{f,p}$ .

□

By Proposition 12(b) we see that  $\|\varphi_1 \times \dots \times \varphi_n b\|_{f,p} = \|\varphi_1 \times \dots \times \varphi_n b\|_{si,p}$  for every  $\varphi_l \in E'_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ , and every  $b \in F$  with  $p \geq 1$ . We do not know if  $\|T\|_{f,p} = \|T\|_{si,p}$  whenever  $T \in L_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ .

**13 Remark.** When  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  are reflexive Banach spaces the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{f,p}$  on  $\mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  reduces to the following equivalent formulation: Given  $T \in \mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ , we have that

$$\|T\|_{f,p} = \inf \|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m\|_q \left( \sup_{\substack{x_l \in B_{E_l} \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi_{1,j}(x_1) \cdots \varphi_{n,j}(x_n)|^p \right)^{1/p} \| (b_j)_{j=1}^m \|_\infty$$

where the infimum is taken over all representations of  $T$  as in (2), and  $q \geq 1$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

Next result provides a relation between  $(\mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E'_1, \dots, E'_n; F'), \|\cdot\|_{si,p})$  and  $(\mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F), \|\cdot\|_{f,p})$ , which gives a predual of  $(\mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E'_1, \dots, E'_n; F'), \|\cdot\|_{si,p})$ , and also shows another predual of  $(\mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F'), \|\cdot\|_{si,p})$  in case of  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  being reflexive spaces.

**14 Proposition.** *Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  be Banach spaces and let  $p \geq 1$ .*

- (a) *Then  $(\mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E'_1, \dots, E'_n; F'), \|\cdot\|_{si,p})$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F), \|\cdot\|_{f,p})'$  by the mapping*

$$T(\psi)(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)(b) = \psi(\varphi_1 \times \cdots \times \varphi_n b),$$

*where  $b \in F$ ,  $\varphi_l \in E'_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $\psi \in (L_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F), \|\cdot\|_{f,p})'$ .*

*If, in addition,  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  are reflexive Banach spaces then*

- (b)  *$(\mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F'), \|\cdot\|_{si,p})$  and  $(\mathcal{L}_f(E'_1, \dots, E'_n; F), \|\cdot\|_{f,p})'$  are isometric via the mapping*

$$T(\psi)(x_1, \dots, x_n)(b) = \psi(x_1 \times \cdots \times x_n b),$$

*where  $b \in F$ ,  $x_l \in E_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $\psi \in (\mathcal{L}_f(E'_1, \dots, E'_n; F), \|\cdot\|_{f,p})'$ .*

PROOF. (a) follows from Propositions 6 and 12 and (b) is a straightforward consequence of (a)  $\square$

In the next by combining the previous results and taking  $F = \mathbb{K}$ , in particular, we obtain the following.

**15 Corollary.** *Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  be Banach spaces and let  $p \geq 1$ . Then the following isometries hold true:*

- (a)  $(\mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E'_1, \dots, E'_n), \|\cdot\|_{si,p}) \cong (E'_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E'_n; \sigma_p)' \cong (E'_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E'_n \otimes \mathbb{K}; \tilde{\sigma}_p)' \cong (\mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n), \|\cdot\|_{f,p})'$ .

*If, in addition,  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  are reflexive Banach spaces then the following isometries hold true:*

$$(b) \quad (\mathcal{L}_{si,p}(E_1, \dots, E_n), \|\cdot\|_{si,p}) \cong (E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n; \sigma_p)' \cong (E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes \mathbb{K}; \tilde{\sigma}_p)' \cong (\mathcal{L}_f(E'_1, \dots, E'_n), \|\cdot\|_{f,p})'.$$

## References

- [1] R. ALENCAR, M.C. MATOS: *Some classes of multilinear mappings between Banach spaces*, Publicaciones Departamento Análisis Matematico, Universidad Complutense Madrid, Section 1, no. 12 (1989).
- [2] E. ÇALIŞKAN, D. M. PELLEGRINO: *On the multilinear generalizations of the concept of absolutely summing operators*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **37** (2007), 1137–1154.
- [3] J. DIESTEL, H. JARCHOW, A. TONGE: *Absolutely Summing Operators*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge **43** (1995).
- [4] V. DIMANT: *Strongly  $p$ -summing multilinear operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **278** (2003), 182–193.
- [5] S. DINEEN: *Complex Analysis on Infinite Dimensional Spaces*, Springer Monographs in Math. Springer, Berlin 1999.
- [6] H. JARCHOW: *Locally Convex Spaces*, B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981.
- [7] G. KÖTHE: *Topological Vector Spaces II*, Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1979.
- [8] J. LINDENSTRAUSS, L. TZAFRIRI: *Classical Banach Spaces I*, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [9] M.C. MATOS: *On multilinear mappings of nuclear type*, Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid, **6** (1993), 61–81.
- [10] M.C. MATOS: *Fully absolutely summing mappings and Hilbert Schmidt operators*, Collect. Mat. **54** (2003), 111–136.
- [11] D.M. PELLEGRINO: *Aplicações entre espaços de Banach relacionadas à convergência de séries*, Doctoral thesis, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, UNICAMP, (2002).
- [12] D. PÉREZ-GARCÍA: *Operadores Multilineales absolutamente sumantes*, Dissertation, Universidad Complutense de Madrid (2002).
- [13] A. PIETSCH: *Operator Ideals*, North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [14] R. RYAN: *Introduction to Tensor Product of Banach Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, London, 2002.